

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/914,315	SONZOGNI ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Steven S. Paik	2876	

All Participants:

(1) Steven S. Paik.

(2) Michael W. Taylor, Attorney.

Status of Application: Final Rejection

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 11 March 2004

Time: 09:30am

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

N/A

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 MICHAEL G. LEE
 SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
 TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The applicant's representative authorized to insert the following paragraph -- This application is a 371 of PCT/FR00/00465, filed February 24, 2000. -- between the title and a header, Field of the Invention, on page 1..